SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

Case 2:11-cv-04588-JHS Document 1 Filed 07/20/11 Page 1 of 19 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

(DDD)	NOTING CITATIONS OF	ntob of Time Cian.,									
. (a) PLAINTIFFS Ebony Harris				DEFENDANTS City of Philadelphia, City of Philadelphia Law Department, Police Officer William Gress							
	of First Listed Plaintiff EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	Burlington		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia							
(E		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE									
				LAND II	NVOLVED.						
• • •	e, Address, and Telephone Numbe quire, 1500 JFK Boulevard, S)2	Attorneys (If Known)								
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff											
U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)					TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In This					
J 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A					
				Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country							
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Or		F0	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES				
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		0 Agriculture		al 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment				
□ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic		0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 423 Witho 28 US	drawal SC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking				
140 Negotiable Instrument150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws	PROPER	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation				
& Enforcement of Judgment 3 151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product		0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copy ☐ 830 Paten		 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 				
J 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability	□ 66	0 Occupational	☐ 840 Trade		☐ 480 Consumer Credit				
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health 0 Other			☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service				
J 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending380 Other Personal		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL 861 HIA (SECURITY (1395ff)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange				
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage		Act	☐ 862 Black	Lung (923)	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410				
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Labor/Mgmt, Relations 0 Labor/Mgmt,Reporting	☐ 864 SSID		☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions				
J 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 🗆 🗆 74	& Disclosure Act 0 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (FEDERA	405(g)) AL TAX SUITS	□ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act				
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence	1	0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc.		s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act				
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—	-Third Party	☐ 895 Freedom of Information				
☐ 240 Torts to Land☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 444 Welfare	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	73.56 2 5 6 8 8	IMMIGRATION		SC 7609	Act ☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination				
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	540 Mandamus & Otl550 Civil Rights		2 Naturalization Application 3 Habeas Corpus -			Under Equal Access to Justice				
	446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee			☐ 950 Constitutionality of				
\	440 Other Civil Rights		10 40	Actions			State Statutes				
1 Original 🗖 2 R		Remanded from Appellate Court			ferred from er district fy)	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	142 (2.6.4.1092	ntute under which you a	re filing	(Do not cite jurisdiction	al statutes u	ınless diversity):					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of ca Civil Rights	iuse:									
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	ų D	EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No								
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER					
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD		Personal Control Contr					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		part of the state	<u> </u>	<i>(</i> "							
			()	<u>.</u> .							
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		МАG. JUI	OGE				

Case 2:11-cv-04588 John Sept Decica in the notification of the control of the con

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 111 Delacove Homes, Beverly, NJ Address of Defendant: 1515 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19102 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 400 Block of South Street (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Date Terminated: Case Number: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously $_{\mathrm{Yes}}\square$ terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? Yes□ CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 2. □ FELA 3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. □ Assault, Defamation 4. □ Marine Personal Injury 4. □ Antitrust 5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. □ Patent 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 7.

Products Liability 8. □ Habeas Corpus 8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) , counsel of record do hereby certify: □ Pursuant to Ildcal Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

CIV. 609 (6/08)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Num	ber	E-Mail Address		
 215-599-1400	215-599	1-(41C	<u>kj·lessin@less</u>	sinla	W.Cor
) - 20 - ((Date	Attorney-a	t-law	Haintfl Attorney for		_
(f) Standard Management – (Cases that do no	t fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)
(e) Special Management – Ca commonly referred to as of the court. (See reverse sign management cases.)	complex and that	t need special	or intense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for per	rsonal injury c	or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases require	red to be designa	ated for arbitra	ntion under Local Civil Rule 53	.2. ()
(b) Social Security – Cases re and Human Services deny				()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	rought under 28	U.S.C. § 224	1 through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CA	SE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a Cas- filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ex- designation, that defendant sh	e Management T a copy on all def yent that a defentiall, with its first ies, a Case Mana	Frack Designa endants. (See idant does not appearance, sagement Tracl	eduction Plan of this court, co tion Form in all civil cases at th § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the t agree with the plaintiff regar- submit to the clerk of court and k Designation Form specifying d.	ne time one reversions the reverse ding sale of the contractions o	of se id on
CITY OF PHI	LA., et al.	: 1	NO.		
v.		:			
HARRIS		: (CIVIL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EBONY HARRIS :

111 Delacove Homes

Beverly, NJ 08010 : CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, : NO.

/.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, c/o City of Philadelphia

Law Department 1515 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19102,

and

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA POLICE

DEPARTMENT, c/o City of Philadelphia Law

Department :

1515 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.,

c/o CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, POLICE :

DEPARTMENT, c/o City of Philadelphia Law

Department : 1515 Arch Street :

Philadelphia, PA 19102,

Defendants. :

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, EBONY HARRIS, (hereinafter referenced as "Plaintiff" as context dictates), by and through her undersigned counsel, Jeffrey R. Lessin & Associates, & P.C., files this Complaint and sues Defendants, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA POLICE DEPARTMENT, and Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr., Individually, and in his capacity as City of Philadelphia Police Officer, and alleges:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action at law for damages sustained by a citizen of the United States against an individual, a police officer of the Philadelphia Police Department and the City of Philadelphia itself, respectively.

- 2. On Saturday, August 7, 2010, Plaintiff was violently manhandled, punched and arrested without probable cause on the 400 block of South Street, Philadelphia, PA, which is in the City and County of Philadelphia, by a CITY of PHILADELPHIA police officer, namely, Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. (Badge #4702), individually and acting in his capacity as CITY of PHILADELPHIA police officer.
- 3. By this complaint, **Plaintiff** seeks judicial redress for violation of her civil rights.
- 4. Plaintiff brings this claim pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 seeking monetary relief for violation of the Privileges and Immunities Clauses of Article IV and the Fourteenth Amendment, the First Amendment right to petition the government for redress of grievances, and the Fourth Amendment. Plaintiff also seeks damages under Pennsylvania tort law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1343 and 1367, this being an action authorized by law to redress the deprivation under color of Pennsylvania law, statute, ordinance, regulation, custom and usage of a right, privilege and immunity secured to **Plaintiff** by the Privileges and Immunities Clauses of Article IV Fourteenth Amendment, the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.
- 6. For jurisdictional purposes, the matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interest and costs, the sum or value of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00).
 - 7. Venue is properly placed in the United States District Court of the Eastern

District of Pennsylvania as it is where Defendants reside, or have offices and business addresses, and where the illegal events complained of all occurred.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff, **EBONY HARRIS**, is an individual, citizen of the United States and resides at the above-captioned address.
- 9. Defendant **Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.** is an adult individual, duly appointed and at all times relevant hereto acting as an officer of the law in the in the Philadelphia County Police Department. He is being sued in his individual capacity for money damages.
- DEPARTMENT") is a municipal corporation and/or political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and/or a department or division thereof, and was the employer of Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.. All actions and/or omissions were performed by the individual police officer were in the name of the POLICE DEPARTMENT, and were under the color of state law.
- 11. In the alternative, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA (hereinafter "CITY") is a municipal corporation and/or political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and was the employer of Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.. All actions and/or omissions were performed by the individual police officer, and other police officers, were in the name of the CITY, and were under the color of state law.
- 12. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant CITY of PHILADELPHIA, and Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr., each of them acting separately and in

concert, through conduct sanctioned under color of state law, statute, municipal ordinances, regulations, policies, practices, customs and/or usages engaged in conduct resulting in injury and damage to Plaintiff, depriving her of the rights, privileges and immunities secured by the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and the laws of the United States and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- DEPARTMENT of the CITY, who are its agents and employees, together with the identified individual Defendant and persons presently unknown to Plaintiff, acting under color of law, statute, City or township ordinance, regulations, policies, practices, customs and/or usages have subjected Plaintiff and other persons to a pattern of conduct consisting of intimidation, abuse, assault and battery, defamation of character, invasion of privacy and conspiracy to deny access to the courts and cover-up of illegal activities, resulting in the denial of the rights privileges and immunities guaranteed Plaintiff and other citizens by the Constitution of the United States and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 14. This systematic pattern of conduct consisted of a large number of individual acts of violence, intimidation, abuse, assault and battery, invasion of privacy, conspiracy to deny access to the courts and cover-up of illegal activities and/or humiliation visited upon Plaintiff and other citizens by members of the POLICE DEPARTMENT of the CITY, acting in concert with persons unknown to Plaintiff under color of law, together with the acts specified in this Complaint. These acts of violence, intimidation, abuse, assault and battery, conspiracy to deny access to the courts and cover-up of illegal activities and/or humiliation which occurred under color of law, have no justification or excuse in law and

are illegal, improper and unrelated to any activity in which a police officer may appropriately and legally engage in the course of protecting persons or property or ensuring civil order.

15. Despite the fact that **CITY** officials knew or should have known of the fact that this pattern of conduct was being carried out by their agents and/or employees, the **CITY**, through its officials, particularly the police commissioner, have taken no effort to order a halt to this course of conduct, to make redress to **Plaintiff** or other citizens injured thereby, or to take any disciplinary action whatever against any of its employees and/or agents, thus having the effect of encouraging their employees and/or agents to continue in this illegal pattern of conduct.

COUNT I PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS-FOURTH AMENDMENT

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 16. On Saturday, August 7, 2010, **Plaintiff** was violently manhandled, punched and arrested without probable cause on the 400 block of South Street, Philadelphia, PA, which is in the City and County of Philadelphia, by a **CITY of PHILADELPHIA** police officer, namely, Defendant **Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.** (Badge #4702), individually and acting in his capacity as **CITY of PHILADELPHIA** police officer.
- 17. At all times relevant to this cause of action, the aforementioned **CITY of PHILADELPHIA** police officer was acting in his capacity as law officer and employee of the Police Department of the **CITY of PHILADELPHIA**, and was acting under color of state law by virtue thereof.
 - 18. On or about said date and approximate time, **Plaintiff** was with friends,

outside, in front of the establishment known as "Fat Tuesday's" on the 400 block of South Street, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

- 19. At or about said date and approximate time, **Plaintiff**'s boyfriend's relative from out of town, who is a very diminutive person, exited "Fat Tuesday's" with a drink inb his hand.
- 20. A CITY of PHILADELPHIA police officer, later identified as Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr., who was on a bike, told Plaintiff's boyfriend's relative to dump out the drink.
- 21. Plaintiff's boyfriend's relative then put the drink down, but Defendant Police

 Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. lost his temper because he did not dump out the drink.
- 22. Without any further provocation, Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. started cursing and using ethnic slurs toward Plaintiff's boyfriend's relative.
- 23. Then **Plaintiff**'s boyfriend, Isai Garcia, intervened and told Defendant **Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.** not to use racial or ethnic slurs. The police officer responded and the two started to argue.
- 24. Plaintiff then stated to Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. that there was no need to use obscenities and racial or ethnic slurs.
- 25. Plaintiff then spit on the ground, and Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. accused her of spitting on him.
 - 26. Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. arrested Isai Garcia.
- 27. Defendant **Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS**, **Jr.** then broke **Plaintiff**'s nose and sprained her left wrist. After breaking **Plaintiff**'s nose and spraining her left wrist,

Defendant **Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS**, **Jr.** then arrested **Plaintiff** for harassment under 18 Pa.C.S. §2709(a).

- 28. Thereafter, the Philadelphia Police, by and through Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr., charged and processed Plaintiff for harassment under 18 Pa.C.S.§2709(a).
 - 29. In relevant part, 18 Pa.C.S §2709(a) states:
 - (a) Offense defined.--A person commits the crime of harassment when, with intent to harass, annoy or alarm another, the person:
 - (1) strikes, shoves, kicks or otherwise subjects the other person to physical contact, or attempts or threatens to do the same;
 - (2) follows the other person in or about a public place or places;
 - engages in a course of conduct or repeatedly commits acts which serve no legitimate purpose;
 - (4) communicates to or about such other person any lewd, lascivious, threatening or obscene words, language, drawings o r caricatures;
 - (5) communicates repeatedly in an anonymous manner;
 - (6) communicates repeatedly at extremely inconvenient hours; or
 - (7) communicates repeatedly in a manner other than specified in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6).
- 30. Indeed, **Plaintiff** had not committed harassment, nor was there any probable cause for Defendant **Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.** to arrest **Plaintiff** for same.
 - 31. **Plaintiff** pled "not guilty" to said charge of harassment.
- 32. The said charge of harassment was subsequently non prossed in favor of **Plaintiff** by the Court.
- 33. **Plaintiff** had done nothing to provoke such a physical attack or arrest by Defendant **Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.**.
 - 34. Said Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. deliberately and

intentionally arrested **Plaintiff** without probable cause, and further inflicted said serious injuries upon **Plaintiff**.

- 35. Said Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. intentionally arrested Plaintiff without probable cause and falsified charges against Plaintiff in a malicious attempt to bully and intimidate Plaintiff, and further to teach Plaintiff a lesson for Plaintiff's unwillingness to accept Defendant Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.'s unreasonable and unconstitutional conduct, including, but not limited to using racial and ethnic slurs because a person put a drink down rather than dump it out, a gross violation of Plaintiff's civil rights.
- 36. At the insistence and instigation of Defendant **Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.**, the false criminal charge of harassment was leveled against **Plaintiff**.
- 37. Defendant **Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS**, **Jr.** also falsified police records and perjured himself in furtherance of his scheme to violate **Plaintiff**'s civil rights, to retaliate at Plaintiff for asserting her rights, and to cover up his gross and egregious misconduct.
- 38. Defendants CITY OF PHILADELPHIA and CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

 POLICE DEPARTMENT, through the individually named Defendant police officer, falsely claimed that Plaintiff was guilty of harassment.
- 39. As a result of the reckless, outrageous and intentional misconduct of Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr., Plaintiff suffered a broken nose, a left wrist injury, great pain and suffering, and was subject to false arrest and prosecution for an offense she did not commit, and for which no probable cause to arrest existed. She

has in the past and will in the future undergo physical pain and suffering as a result of which he has been in the past and may in the future be unable to attend to her usual duties and occupation, all to her great financial detriment and loss.

- 40. As a result of the aforesaid occurrence, the **Plaintiff** has been compelled, in order to effectuate a cure for the aforesaid injuries, to expend sums of money for medicine and medical attention and will or may be required to expend additional sums for the same purposes in the future.
- 41. As a result of the aforesaid occurrence, the **Plaintiff** has been compelled, in order to defend herself against the outrageous and false charges to expend sums of money on attorneys fees and/or expenses and costs.
- 42. As a result of the aforesaid occurrence, the **Plaintiff** has been prevented from attending to her usual and daily activities and duties, all to her great financial detriment and loss.
- 43. As a result of the aforesaid occurrence, the **Plaintiff** has suffered physical pain, mental anguish embarrassment, humiliation, psychological pain and damage to her personal reputation, and he may continue to suffer same for an indefinite period of time in the future.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF EBONY HARRIS demands compensatory damages against the Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. solely, jointly and/or severally, in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,000.00) and further demands punitive damages against the said Defendant, in an amount in excess of (\$100,000.00). A demand is also made for costs, expenses, reasonable attorney fees and

such other relief as the Court deems just, proper and equitable. **Plaintiff** demands a trial by jury.

COUNT II PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANTS CITY and POLICE DEPARTMENT FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS PLAINTIFF v. CITY ("Monell" Claim)

- 44. **Plaintiff** incorporates herein by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 43 herein, inclusive, as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- 45. This cause of action is brought by **Plaintiff** against the **CITY**, and the **POLICE DEPARTMENT** for deprivation by its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees of their constitutional rights within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. §1983.
- 46. Defendant **Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.**, Individually, and in his capacity as a Police Officer, acting under color of law as authorized police officer of the **CITY**, and/or the **POLICE DEPARTMENT** while in the acts aforesaid, resulted in a constitutional deprivation of **Plaintiff**'s constitutional rights in violation of the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution, made applicable to the states by the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 47. The CITY, and/or the POLICE DEPARTMENT violated Plaintiff's due process rights by failing to train its officer, Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr., Individually, and in his capacity as a Police Officer, in the proper methods for dealing constitutionally with non-perpetrator citizens and for investigating, reporting and prosecuting crimes, and for filing only charges based in fact and law, testifying honestly and candidly in sworn testimony before the Court.

- 48. The CITY, and/or the POLICE DEPARTMENT through its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees have a history of threatening, assaulting, falsely accusing and prosecuting and physically attacking innocent citizens while on duty and/or in uniform, of refusing to honestly report, investigate, charge or recommend charges against agents, servants, workmen and/or employees of the CITY, and/or the POLICE DEPARTMENT who attack, assault, threaten, perjure or otherwise commit crimes and/or misdemeanors against innocent citizens similarly situated as Plaintiff and depriving them of their constitutional rights within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. §1983.
- 49. The constitutional deprivation was caused by the lack of training, supervision, review and discipline by the CITY, and/or the POLICE DEPARTMENT with regard to its officers, and police officers in general and Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. in particular, by failing to properly train, supervise, review and/or discipline officers regarding their ability to appropriately interact with citizens without causing the deprivation of constitutional rights, and in their ability to charge citizens with crimes only when there is actual and factual evidence of criminal conduct, thereby departing from the prevailing standards, and also by train, supervise, review and/or discipline officers regarding their duty to honestly swear out charges and testify honestly under oath.
- 50. Prior to the date of the incident involving Plaintiff, the CITY, and/or the POLICE DEPARTMENT permitted, tolerated and overlooked and/or approved the constitutional violations of citizens by officers of its police department, particularly Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr., and other officers similarly situated, who were not properly trained and supervised to appropriately interact with citizens without

causing the deprivation of constitutional rights.

- 51. The CITY, and/or the POLICE DEPARTMENT is/are responsible for the promulgation, adoption, and implementation of official policies for its officers and police officers in general and Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. in particular, to ensure that they appropriately interact with citizens without causing the deprivation of constitutional rights.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result of the above-mentioned unconstitutional acts, patterns, policies, customs, and usages of The CITY, and/or the POLICE DEPARTMENT, Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. violated Plaintiff's rights, and Plaintiff has sustained physical and mental injuries, financial damages and deprivation of civil rights as indicated herein.
- 53. Defendant CITY OF PHILADELPHIA and/or CITY OF PHILADELPHIA POLICE DEPARTMENT has encouraged, tolerated, ratified, and has been deliberately indifferent to the following patterns, practices and customs, and for the need for more or different training, supervision, investigation or discipline in the following areas of:
 - a. the use of unreasonable force, excessive force and unlawful arrest by police officers;
 - b. the proper exercise of police powers, including, but not limited to the unreasonable use of force, the excessive use of force, unlawful arrest, malicious prosecution, the need to present non-perjured claims and testimony in a criminal prosecution, and violation of citizens' civil rights;

- c. the monitoring of officers, including, but not limited to officers it knew or should have known may have been suffering from emotional or psychological problems that impaired their ability to function as officers;
- d. the failure to identify and take remedial or disciplinary action against police officers who were the subject of prior civilian or internal complaints of misconduct;
- e. police officers' use of their status as police officers to employ the use of excessive force and unlawful arrest, or to achieve ends not reasonably related to their police duties;
- f. the failure to take remedial or disciplinary action against police officers who use racial and/or ethnic epithets with members of the public citizenry; and
- g. the failure of police officers to follow established policies, procedures, directives and instructions regarding the use of force and arrest powers under such circumstances as presented herein, and regarding testifying truthfully when under oath in Court.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF EBONY HARRIS demands compensatory damages against the Defendant CITY OF PHILADELPHIA and/or CITY OF PHILADELPHIA POLICE DEPARTMENT and each of them, solely, jointly and/or severally, in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,000.00). A demand is also made for costs, expenses, reasonable attorney fees and such other relief as the Court deems just, proper

and equitable. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

COUNT III PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS-DEMAND FOR PUNITIVE

AND OTHER DAMAGES

- 54. **Plaintiff** incorporates herein by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 53, inclusive, as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr., Plaintiff has been caused to suffer great bodily and mental harm, pain, suffering and injury, including mental anguish, from the time of the incident until now, and will continue to suffer same well into the future; has lost and will in the future lose sums of money due to medical expenses past, present and future; and has been greatly humiliated and held up to public scorn and ridicule as a result of the acts of the Defendants discussed herein.
- 56. **Plaintiff** has been forced to incur obligations for investigation, attorney fees, court reporter fees and other expenses due to the intentional, unfounded and unwarranted misconduct of the Defendants, which has been a serious and onerous burden to her.
- 57. Due to the intentional, egregious and outrageous nature of the misconduct of Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. as outlined above, Plaintiff demands not only compensatory damages, but punitive damages against Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. .

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF EBONY HARRIS demands compensatory damages

against the Defendants and each of them, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,000.00) and further demands punitive damages against the Defendant **Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.**, in an amount in excess of (\$100,000.00). A demand is also made for costs, expenses, reasonable attorney fees and such other relief as the Court deems just, proper and equitable. **Plaintiff** demands a trial by jury.

COUNT IV PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANTS SUPPLEMENTAL STATE CLAIMS

- 58. **Plaintiff** incorporates herein by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 57, inclusive, as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- 59. The acts and conduct of Defendant Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr. in this case constitute assault, battery, false arrest, false imprisonment, abuse of process, malicious prosecution, invasion of privacy and casting in a false light (due to the fact that the officer's false allegations were published and/or publicized by one r more Defendants, and intentional infliction of emotional distress under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and this Honorable Court has supplemental jurisdiction to hear and adjudicate these claims.
- 60. The acts and conduct of Defendant **Police Officer WILLIAM GRESS, Jr.** in this case further acting in concert to commit and/or cover up acts constituting assault, battery, false arrest, false imprisonment, abuse of process, malicious prosecution, invasion of privacy and casting in a false light, and intentional infliction of emotional distress under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and this Honorable Court has

supplemental jurisdiction to hear and adjudicate these conspiracy claims.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF EBONY HARRIS demands compensatory damages against the Defendants and each of them, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,000.00) and further demands punitive damages against the Defendants, and each of them, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of (\$100,000.00). A demand is also made for costs, expenses, reasonable attorney fees and such other relief as the Court deems just, proper and equitable. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

JEFFREY R. LESSIN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

BY

JEFFREY R. LESSIN, ESQUIRE MARK T. RICHTER, ESQUIRE I.D. Nos. 43801 and 45195 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 1500 J.F.K. Boulevard, Suite 600 Philadelphia, PA 19102 215-599-1400